

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/488,945	<b>Applicant(s)</b> AMES ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Melvin H Pollack	<b>Art Unit</b> 2141	

**All Participants:**

(1) Melvin H Pollack.

(2) William W. Schaal (39,018).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 August 2004
**Time:** 3:30 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

18-23

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed various draft amendments and further detailing of "mechanism to determine... and transfer... without use of routing function," of what kind of function is used, and of use in virtual LANs. No agreement was reached.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)